

PROCEEDINGS
of the
29th ANNUAL MEETING
FORESTRY COMMITTEE
Great Plains Agricultural Council

Albuquerque, New Mexico

June 20 to 23, 1977

"Forestry in the Great Plains of the Southwest"

1
2 Establishment of Conifer Plantations in
3 Northern New Mexico

4 James T. Fisher and Jose M. Montano¹

5 Many small communities in the north central mountains of New Mexico
6 suffer chronic unemployment and are economically depressed. Poverty
7 stems primarily from geographic isolation and lack of capital. Through
8 Spanish inheritance land holdings have been divided beyond economic units
9 and many formerly cultivated and irrigated tracts are presently idle or
10 seemingly abandoned.

11 Through the years, wildling Christmas trees have been cut in the
12 area for supplemental income. Although the retail value of trees cut in
13 the state exceeds one and one-half million dollars, the market is fading
14 because of a growing scarcity of high-quality trees. Because of this
15 and the short growing season (100-120 days), a logical alternative would
16 be to use these lands to grow conifer plantations for Christmas trees
17 and ornamentals.

18 Plantation establishment will involve many silvicultural practices
19 commonly used in the forests of the Southwest. However, special consi-
20 deration must be given to cropping history, availability of surface
21 irrigation water, presence of dense stands of alfalfa and perennial
22 grasses, and the lack of shelterwood and mycorrhizae forming fungi. Stand
23 establishment will depend on how well one has tailored silviculture
24 treatment to site and species.

25 ¹Respectively, Assistant Professor of Forest Ecology and Research
26 Specialist, Mora Research Center, Department of Horticulture, New Mexico
27 State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003.

1 Mora Research Center, an agriculture experiment station of New Mexico
2 State University, is committed to the development of commercial planta-
3 tions in the state. Following are suggestions for establishing tree
4 plantations in irrigated mountain valleys and on moderate slopes. These
5 practices could also be applied to windbreaks or seed orchards.

6 Species Selection

7 Under irrigated conditions there is a wide selection of species for
8 planting. White fir (Abies concolor [Gord. & Glend.] Lindl.), Douglas-
9 fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii [Mirb.] Franco), and Scots pine (Pinus sylves-
10 tris L.) should be considered for Christmas trees. Southwestern white
11 pine (Pinus strobiformis Engelm.) has shown much promise in northeastern
12 test plantations and may also do well on local sites (Heit 1973, Wright
13 et al. 1970) Blue spruce (Picea pungens Engelm.) is in demand and is an
14 excellent choice for ornamental plantations.

15 Site Selection

16 Site selection should take into account elevation, aspect and slope,
17 air drainage, and availability of irrigation water. Soil physical pro-
18 perties such as internal drainage, depth and texture are highly important
19 and will ultimately have more influence on plantation survival and growth
20 than any chemical fertilizer that can be administered.

21 Most potential sites in the Mora Valley are from 7,000 to 8,000 feet.
22 The degree to which frost pockets must be avoided will depend upon species
23 and seed source selection. Only level to moderate slopes should be used
24 and poorly drained sites supporting natural pasture (vega) should be
25 avoided. Soils should be at least three feet deep and relatively free of
26 rocks which interfere with site preparation and machine planting. Al-
27 though soil reaction tends to be slightly alkaline, this is generally

1 not a serious problem.

2 Site Preparation

3 Great importance should be placed on soil preparation prior to plant-
4 ing. Good site preparation will: (1) make planting and irrigation easier,
5 (2) increase survival, and (3) stimulate early growth of trees. Failure
6 to properly level the site and clear brush and other weeds invites great-
7 er expense in the long run.

8 Subsoiling may be required on land where shallow plowing has been
9 practiced many years. Machine planting 8-to 10-inch root systems is
10 quite different from sowing wheat or barley. Also, hardpans produced by
11 tillage can impose a direct limitation on root growth when bulk density
12 is high. Falkner and Malcom (1972) observed in 30- to 40-year-old
13 stands of Scots pine that downward penetration of roots ceased at bulk
14 densities of about 1.5 g/cc. Clay loams easily reach these densities if
15 compacted. Subsoiling should be done when soil is sufficiently dry to
16 fracture the hardpan.

17 Schubert et al. (1970) pointed out the importance of complete site
18 preparation, rather than partial treatments such as scalping or clearing
19 strips of weeds with herbicides. These practices may be valid elsewhere,
20 but in the Southwest moisture stress subsequent to reinvasion of grasses
21 makes these treatments ineffective in reducing seedling mortality.
22 Heidmann and Thorud (1976) suggested that plowing and disking might also
23 reduce frost heaving since this is closely related to bulk density.

24 Site preparation should be done a year ahead of planting. Fields
25 should be deeply plowed, disked, and harrowed. Deep plowing speeds root
26 development of newly planted trees, which in turn speeds top growth. For
27 heavy soils site preparation should be done in the fall so that large,

1 hard clods will break into desirable-size granules as a result of freezing
2 and thawing, and wetting and drying. A delay in planting will also
3 afford breakdown of phytotoxic substances produced by grasses (Schubert
4 et al. 1970).

5 Of course, complete plowing can encourage erosion even on gentle
6 slopes. This can be controlled by terracing, or if necessary, by plowing
7 alternate strips along contours so that planting can be done in the
8 plowed soil.

9 Planting

10 Conventional bare-root planting should only be done when trees are
11 dormant and is not recommended beyond mid-May in the Southwest. Unfor-
12 tunately, the onset of the summer rainy season (July and August, Fig. 1)
13 comes too late for spring-planted seedlings. Substitution of container-
14 ized for bare-root stock makes it possible to wait until the rains begin
15 before planting. At the Center, a 1200-tree plantation of five native
16 conifers and Scots pine were machine planted in late July. Seedlings
17 were grown in 9-cu. in. book planters (also called root trainers or
18 Hilsons). After almost a year, survival is between 92-98% for all
19 species. A plantation of white fir planted in mid-August showed less
20 survival (81%), indicating that survival may become proportionately
21 lower as the end of the growing season is reached. Planting too late
22 reduces root growth and increases the risk of frost heaving and spring
23 dessication.

24 Intensive site preparation makes machine planting easier, possible
25 in some cases. Because one man can auger plant only 200-300 trees per
26 day, hand and auger planting are not suited to commercial plantations.

27

1 Two men with a machine planter can plant up to 8,000 trees per day in
2 well-prepared soil, greatly reducing planting costs. Faster planting
3 permits the operation to be completed during brief periods of optimal
4 conditions.

5 When machine planting, the soil should be friable and flow easily
6 into the planting slit. Fall plowed soils possess this attribute.

7 Seedling Protection

8 Because newly planted trees are provided no shelterwood, they are
9 highly susceptible to desiccating winds and excessive insolation. Many
10 techniques have been devised to protect seedlings from these extremes.
11 Furrow planting has increased survival and growth of conifers in several
12 areas subject to summer drought (Wilde and Albert 1942, Stransky 1962)
13 The alternation of cultivated and non-treated strips left in native grass
14 has provided protection, as has shade provided by shingles, logs or
15 stacked twigs. At the Center, the effectiveness of different barriers
16 was tested for Douglas-fir. Some were planted in furrows, while others
17 were planted on scalped areas with or without a single- or 3-shingle
18 barrier. Single shingles were positioned to protect seedlings from mid-
19 day to late afternoon sun. Barriers did the same, but also protected
20 seedlings from westerly winds.

21 Fourteen months after planting, survival was greatest for seedlings
22 protected by the shingle and lowest for the furrow planted trees, pro-
23 bably a result of silting (Fig. 2). The barrier also caused survival
24 to fall slightly below that of the unprotected seedlings.

25 Because of the reported (Strothmann 1972) and demonstrated benefits
26 of shade, subsequent plantings included rows of oats or winter rye to
27 protect seedlings (Fig. 3). This technique is used in Russia to grow

1 birch seedlings in nurseries. Only a shallow furrow is used for irriga-
2 ting to minimize silting.

3 In addition to wind and sun, seedlings must be protected from graz-
4 ing for at least 3 to 4 years after planting. Rodents may also pose
5 serious problems and must be considered when developing plans for site
6 preparation and weed control.

7 Weed Control

8 The first criterion of plantation success is high initial survival.
9 Because weeds rob seedlings of moisture, high survival requires virtually
10 total weed control. Weeds also cause malformed crowns with poor color,
11 and support unwanted insects and mammals. White fir will be severely
12 affected by competition, while pines will usually show the least damage
13 with Douglas-fir intermediate.

14 In the Southwest, much attention is usually given to perennial
15 grasses because they grow primarily during the spring dry period of May
16 and June, and are capable of using most of the available soil moisture at
17 the expense of newly planted seedlings (Heidmann 1970). On abandoned
18 croplands seedlings must contend with alfalfa with its high water require-
19 ments and ability to strongly compete for moisture in the upper 6 inches
20 of soil.

21 Weed control is a complex subject and requires much planning and
22 forethought before trees are planted. In fact, a weed control plan from
23 site preparation to tree harvest should precede planting. Among the many
24 factors to consider are registration, persistence, selectivity, method
25 of application, and whether the chemical is used for pre- or post-emer-
26 gence control. Type of soil and moisture availability are also import-
27 ant since these greatly affect movement and retention of surface-applied

1 herbicides. Soils high in organic matter and clay, for example, tie up
2 herbicides so that more chemical would be required to produce the same
3 effect as in a sandy soil. Time of application is highly important.
4 Applications made after weeds have begun to grow are more costly since
5 much more herbicide may be required to achieve satisfactory results.

6 Herbicides commonly used in tree plantations can be placed into one
7 of two categories, selective and non-selective. Selective herbicides
8 generally cause little or no damage when sprayed over dormant conifers.
9 Non-selective herbicides must be used before planting or directed so as
10 not to contact trees.

11 Some representative selective herbicides include triazines (e.g.,
12 atrazine and simazine), dichlobenil (Casoron) and mineral spirits. Re-
13 presentative non-selective herbicides include dalapon, phenoxy herbici-
14 des (2,4-D and 2,4,5-T), paraquat, and amitrole.

15 Triazines are highly effective and if one had to choose only one
16 herbicide for use he should probably choose atrazine. Atrazine is ten
17 times more soluble than simazine in water and is therefore much better
18 suited to low rainfall (Flanagan 1969). Atrazine and simazine (1) are
19 registered for use in forestry; (2) provide control principally of
20 annual grasses and some sensitive perennials; (3) show excellent selec-
21 tivity in conifers (Heidmann 1970, Newton 1974); (4) interfere with
22 photosynthesis of susceptible plants (Ahrens 1974); (5) persist in the
23 soil for more than one growing season; and (6) can be used for both pre-
24 and post-emergence applications provided the latter are made while weeds
25 are immature. However, they are not effective on many deep rooted peren-
26 nial grasses and forbs, and continued use over several seasons will
27 result in build up of triazine-resistant weeds. Gopher problems

1 commonly associated with continued use of triazines may become almost
2 insoluble.

3 Simazine and atrazine must be incorporated into the soil by rainfall
4 or mechanical mixing. As pre-emergent herbicides they remain near the
5 soil surface and kill newly germinated weeds, and will continue to do so
6 throughout the growing season. Applied after weed emergence they can
7 control young growth. Atrazine is more effective in controlling pere-
8 nnial weeds and grasses at this point because it shows foliage activity
9 not found with simazine (Byrnes 1960). However, this also creates a need
10 for more accurate timing to avoid injury to conifers (Flanagan 1969).

11 Atrazine has shown promise in controlling brome grass and alfalfa in
12 the Mora Valley (Fig. 4). In field plots it afforded acceptable control
13 at a lower concentration than simazine.

14 Casoron (dichlobenil) was least effective but has shown promise in
15 control of weeds not controlled by triazines (Flanagan 1969). It is
16 usually applied on the soil surface during late fall, winter, or early
17 spring when soil temperatures are low (Ahrens 1974). Unfortunately,
18 small conifers are less tolerant to Casoron than to triazines. Douglas-
19 fir is especially susceptible. The chemical kills "from the bottom up"
20 and is therefore hazardous to use on new transplants (Flanagan 1969).

21 Mineral spirits have been used for decades in forest nurseries for
22 control of newly emerged weeds. However, the need for frequent applica-
23 tion makes them somewhat impractical for commercial purposes.

24 Several systemic non-selective herbicides have successfully killed
25 perennial grasses in the Southwest. Dalapon, (sodium 2, 2-dichloropro-
26 prionate) has proven to be the cheapest and is highly effective (Heidmann
27 1970). It is effective on a wider range of perennial grasses than are

1 the triazines, but can injure conifers when foliar applied. Also, late
2 applications can be taken up by roots of rapidly transpiring conifers and
3 cause damage or even mortality. All registrations have been for pre-
4 planting only (Newton 1974).

5 Phenoxy herbicides, especially 2,4-D and 2,4,5-T, are commonly used
6 for selective control of broadleaf herbs and brush in tree plantations.
7 However, sprays must be directed away from trees since they can be dam-
8 aged. Although pines are especially sensitive to 2,4-D in any season,
9 Newton (1974) found that Douglas-fir and white fir can tolerate four
10 pounds and one pound per acre, respectively, when sprayed before bud bur-
11 st has begun in the Northwest. Because of the limited persistence of
12 phenoxy and dalapon, they have limited usefulness for season-long con-
13 trol with one early treatment.

14 Amitrole is another systemic herbicide that is effective against
15 most perennial herbaceous plants and certain woody plants. However, it
16 can cause severe whitening of foliage.

17 Paraquat is a contact herbicide that can kill most green tissue
18 shortly after application. It works rapidly and weeds show damage hours
19 after treatment. Its high mammalian toxicity causes much concern but it
20 is useful as a pre-planting measure.

21 All of the non-selective herbicides mentioned may be mixed with tri-
22 azines to achieve an almost immediate pre-plant effect with the former
23 and a residual effect with the latter. Amizine, for example, is a comm-
24 ercial formulation of amitrol and simazine. Paraquat has also been com-
25 bined with simazine (Ahrens 1974). In the Northwest, Newton (1974) found
26 that various combinations of atrazine, dalapon, and 2,4-D can be sprayed
27 over dormant trees for controlling a wide variety of annual and perennial

1 herbs. Interestingly, atrazine and dalapon mixtures have the spectrum of
2 both ingredients for weed control, and do not have the damaging effect of
3 dalapon alone.

4 In northern New Mexico, Amizine could be applied on fall plowed land.
5 After spring planting, atrazine could be applied with early spring mois-
6 ture or tilled.

7 Irrigation

8 Irrigation water will be required for acceptable survival and growth
9 rates. Frequency of watering will depend upon the evaporative demand of
10 the atmosphere but on clay loams water will generally be needed every 7-
11 14 days during the first two growing seasons. During the third and four-
12 th years, up to 20 days may pass before water is needed and thereafter
13 every 21-35 days. It is especially important to have water available
14 during bud formation and when succulent new growth appears. New growth
15 may actually droop and die back if water is withheld, resulting in poorly
16 formed trees.

17 Fertilization

18 A fertile soil is required to produce dense, dark colored, high qual-
19 lity trees. Trees planted on good farm land will generally require
20 little if any fertilization the first three or five years. In areas
21 where available nutrient content of the soil is poor, the addition of
22 fertilizer will increase the growth rate and quality of trees.

23 Fertilization of trees at planting time is usually not necessary or
24 desirable because of the danger of root burning. Once trees are estab-
25 lished, fertilizer can be applied in bands alongside trees, or scattered
26 evenly under the drip line of the tree. Fertilizer should never be placed
27 in direct contact with the root system.

1 The rate of application varies considerably, depending on soil
2 deficiency. However, one-third to one-half cup of balanced low analysis
3 fertilizer is generally adequate for newly established trees. Fertilizer
4 should be added in late winter or early spring before the buds have
5 burst.

6 Lack of nitrogen is generally the most common deficiency, especially
7 on abandoned fields. Common nitrogen fertilizers are urea, ammonium
8 nitrate, and ammonium sulfate. These often produce marked improvements.
9 On a per acre basis the application may vary from 100 to 250 pounds of
10 actual nitrogen. Nitrogen should be applied only where good weed control
11 can be maintained.

12 Soils low in phosphorus and potassium should be raised to at least
13 50 ppm of P_2O_5 and 75 ppm of K.

14 Containerized Seedlings

15 Two of the main causes of plantation failure in the Southwest are
16 poor physiological condition of seedlings prior to planting, and moisture
17 stress afterward. Poor physiological condition stems from improper lifting
18 and from not keeping seedlings refrigerated properly.

19 Containerized seedlings minimize the impact of these factors on
20 plantation survival. The container method permits seedlings to begin
21 and maintain rapid root growth in a near-natural condition. Seedlings
22 are thus able to make better use of soil moisture and transplant shock
23 is reduced. Containers also protect seedlings from mishandling. This
24 helps explain the fact that containerized seedlings generally afford an
25 average 20% overall gain in survival over bare-root stock (Hite 1974).
26 Differences are greatest when large volume containers are compared against
27 st bareroot stock on adverse sites (Owston 1972, Hite 1974).

1 Growth rate of outplanted seedlings may also be increased by produ-
2 cing seedlings indoors (Hanover et al. 1976). Under greenhouse condi-
3 tions, seedlings may be subjected to optimum conditions of water, nut-
4 rients, light and even CO₂. Trees are thus subjected to accelerated-
5 growth conditions and can achieve growth indoors in 9 months that re-
6 quired 3 to 4 years outdoors. Much of this response is due to providing
7 continuous light so that the phytochrome system promotes the vegetative
8 or free-growth phase and prevents dormancy. Although trees resume nor-
9 mal cyclic patterns when returned to the natural environment, growth
10 still remains high.

11 Trees grown under accelerated-growth conditions may also go on to
12 flower earlier (Hanover et al. 1976). Earlier flowering, increased
13 growth rate, and vigor of accelerated seedlings will yield genetic infor-
14 mation much sooner than plantations started from bare-root stock.

15 Rarely mentioned is that it is practically impossible to J-root con-
16 tainer seedlings during the planting operation.

17 Much research is being performed to assure that containerized seed-
18 lings are properly inoculated with mycorrhizae-forming fungi before being
19 sent to the field. This is especially important for abandoned fields,
20 lacking forest duff with natural inoculum.

21 Tree Improvement

22 Production of accelerated-growth seedlings calls for the best seed
23 available. Provenance studies conducted in the Northeast and elsewhere
24 have consistently shown New Mexico seed sources of Douglas-fir, white fir
25 and blue spruce to be among the best if not the best for Christmas trees
26 or ornamentals. They have excellent color, form, and growth, while suf-
27 fering little if any frost damage.

1 Research has begun to find the best sources in New Mexico. White
2 fir seed was collected from 15 sources in 1975 (Fig. 5). In Fig. 6,
3 height growth of container seedlings is shown for these sources from
4 different latitudes, but with similar elevations (8,000-8,200 ft., 2438-
5 2499 m). Growth at time of planting showed a strong clinal relationship
6 latitude accounting for 96% of the variability between sources. The
7 southern sources grew more than twice as fast as some from the forests
8 near Mora. Several studies have shown that it is sometimes possible to
9 increase growth rate by using seed collected from more southern sources.
10 In Sweden, it was possible to increase growth rate of Scots pine by using
11 seed collected 300 to 400 km south of the planting site without risk of
12 serious winter injury (Eiche 1966). Time will tell if this can be ach-
13 ieved with the more southern sources of white fir.

14 Hail may accompany some of the more severe summer thunderstorms that
15 pass over the area. Plantations at the Center received moderate damage
16 from a storm last fall and significant damage from a recent severe storm.
17 Hail may therefore pose a more serious threat to plantations than former-
18 ly thought.

19 Following the recent storm, relative hail damage for 30 internation-
20 al sources of Scots pine was recorded. Data are shown for the Turkish
21 sources which should do well in the area (Fig. 7). On a scale of from
22 1-5, 1 = no visible damage, 2 = less than 25% needle loss, 3 = 25-50%
23 loss, 4 = 50-75% loss, and 5 = 75-100% loss. The study showed that one
24 source, Sarikimis suffered only slight damage while the most damaged
25 sources suffered 25-50% needle loss. Some attention should be given to
26 these differences in predicting overall performance of seed sources.

27

1 Summary

2 Establishment of tree plantations will require intensive site pre-
3 preparation for weed control and machine planting. Weed control, protection
4 from livestock and rodents, and irrigation are essential to high survival
5 and production of high quality trees. Among the many advantages contained
6 in containerized seedlings over conventional bare-root stock is the
7 possibility of planting in July, when precipitation markedly increases.
8 Containerization combined with superior seed sources should move plantations
9 past the slow-growth phase much sooner than observed in the past.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1
2 Literature Cited

3 Ahrens, J. F. 1974. Weed and brush control from seedbed to
4 harvest. Amer. Christmas Tree Jour. 18:11-18.

5 Byrnes, W. R. 1960. Chemical weed and brush control. Amer.
6 Christmas Tree Jour. 4:13-16.

7 Eiche, V. 1966. Cold damage and plant mortality in experimental
8 provenance plantations with Scots pine in northern Sweden.
9 Studia Forestalia Suecica, Skoghogskolan, Stockholm.

10 Faulkner, M. E., and D. C. Malcolm. 1972. Soil physical factors
11 affecting root morphology and stability of Scots pine on
12 upland heaths. Forestry 45:23-36.

13 Flanagan, T. R. 1979. Herbicidal weed suppression for improved
14 Christmas tree production. Amer. Christmas Tree Jour. 13:39-48.

15 Hanover, J. W., E. Young, W. A. Lemmien, and M. Van Slooten. 1976.
16 Accelerated-optimal-growth: A new concept in tree production.
17 Michigan State Univ. Agric. Exp. Sta. Res. Rpt. 317, 16p.

18 Heidmann, L. J. 1970. Preemergent herbicides for preparing
19 ponderosa pine planting sites in the Southwest. USDA For. Serv.
20 Res. Note RM-164, 4p. Rocky Mt. For. and Range Exp. Sta.,
21 Fort Collins, Colorado.

22 Heidmann, L. J., and D. B. Thorud. 1976. Controlling frost heaving
23 of ponderosa pine seedlings in Arizona. USDA For. Serv. Res.
24 Pap. RM-172, 12p. Rocky Mt. For. and Range Exp. Sta., Fort
25 Collins, Colorado.

26 Heit, C. E. 1976. Seed testing and growing limber and Mexican
27 border pines. Amer. Christmas Tree Jour. 20:3-9.

Hite, W. A. 1974. Container field performance in the Rockies and
Plains. In R. W. Tinus et al. (eds.) Proc. North American
Containerized Forest Tree Seedling Symposium, Denver, Colorado.
P. 306-309.

Newton, M. 1974. Mixing herbicides for optimum weed control in
Pacific Northwest conifers. Down to Earth 30:13-17.

- 1
2 Owston, P. W. 1972, Field performance of containerized seedlings
3 in the western United States. Proc. Annual meeting, Western
4 Forestry and Conservation Assoc., Portland, Oregon.
- 5 Schubert, G. H., L. J. Heidmann, and M. M. Larson. 1970.
6 Artificial reforestation practices for the Southwest. USDA,
7 Agric. Handb. 370, 25p.
- 8 Schubert, G. H., and J. A. Pitcher. 1973. A provisional tree
9 seed-zone and cone-crop rating system for Arizona and New
10 Mexico. USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. RM-105, 8p. Rocky Mt.
11 For. and Range Exp. Sta., Fort Collins, Colorado.
- 12 Stansky, J. J. 1962. Furrows and pine survival during drought.
13 Tree Planters' Notes 53:23-24.
- 14 Strothmann, R. O. 1972. Douglas-fir in northern California
15 effects of shade on germination, survival and growth.
16 USDA For. Serv. Res. Pap. PSW-84, 10p. Pac. Southwest For.
17 and Range Exp. Sta., Berkeley, Calif.
- 18 Tuan, Yi-Fu., C. E. Everard, and J. G. Widdison. 1969. The climate
19 of New Mexico, 169 p. State Planning Office, Santa Fe.
- 20 Wilde, S. A., and A. R. Albert. 1942. Effect of planting methods
21 on survival and growth of plantations. Jour. For. 40:560-562.
- 22 Wright, J. W., F. H. Kung, R. A. Read, R. J. Steinhoff, and J. W.
23 Andresen. 1971. Nine-year performance of Pinis flexilis and
24 P. strobiformis progenies in Michigan and Nebraska. Silvae
25 Genetica 20:211-214.
26
27